**Operative Performance Rating System (OPRS)**

**LAPAROSCOPIC VENTRAL HERNIA**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluator:** |  | | **Resident:** |  |
| **Resident Level:** | |  | **Program:** |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Date of Procedure:** |  | | **Time Procedure Was Completed:** |  | | | | **Date Assessment Was Completed:** | |  | **Time Assessment Was Initiated:** | |  | |

Please rate this resident's performance during this operative procedure. For most criteria, the caption above each checkbox provides descriptive anchors for 3 of the 5 points on the rating scale. "NA" (not applicable) should only be selected when the resident did not perform that part of the procedure.

**Case Difficulty**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Straightforward anatomy, no related prior surgeries or treatment | Intermediate difficulty | Abnormal anatomy, extensive pathology, related prior surgeries or treatment (for example radiation), or obesity |
|  |  |  |

**Degree of Prompting or Direction**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 2 | | 3 | |
| Minimal direction by attending. Resident performs all steps and directs the surgical team independently with minimum or no direction from the attending, to either the resident or to the surgical team. | Some direction by attending. Resident performs all steps but the attending provides occasional direction to the resident and /or to the surgical team. | | Substantial direction by attending. Resident performs all steps but the attending provides constant direction to the resident and surgical team. | |
|  | |  | |  | |

**Procedure-Specific Criteria**

**Incision / Port Placement**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | NA |
| Safe, efficient and optimal positioning of ports for procedure and anatomy |  | Functional but somewhat awkward port positioning;  generally safe technique; some difficulty inserting ports |  | Poor choice of port position; unsafe technique in insertion or removal |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Exposure - Pneumoperitoneum**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | | NA | |
| Efficient establishment and maintenance of appropriate pneumoperitoneum, camera angle and retraction |  | Adequate establishment and maintenance of appropriate pneumoperitoneum, camera angle and retraction but with occasional loss of exposure |  | | Inadequate pneumoperitoneum, camera angle and retraction with frequent loss of exposure | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | |  | |

**Exposure - Identification**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | | NA | |
| Precise and efficient dissection of adhesions to expose entire fascial edge, sac and contents. Efficient reduction of sac |  | Satisfactory dissection and mobilization with occasional inefficient dissection. Incomplete exposure of fascial edge. Satisfactory reduction of sac |  | | Poor dissection technique with inadequate exposure of fascial edge and sac. Complete reliance on faculty for identification of sac and reduction of sac and contents | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | |  | |

**Preparation of Mesh**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | NA | |
| Excellent (independent) sizing of mesh, and positioning of sutures |  | Satisfactory (required some direction) sizing of mesh, and positioning of sutures |  | Inadequate or inappropriate sizing of mesh with complete reliance on faculty instruction | |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | |

**Placement of Mesh**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | NA |
| Excellent positioning and placement of sutures without tension or redundancy |  | Satisfactory (required some direction), placement without tension or redundancy |  | Inadequate orientation of mesh, and suturing with complete reliance on faculty instruction |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**General Criteria**

**Instrument Handling**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | | | NA |
| Fluid movements with instruments *consistently* using appropriate force, keeping tips in view, and placing clips securely |  | Competent use of instruments, *occasionally* appeared awkward or did not visualize instrument tips | |  | Tentative or awkward movements, *often* did not visualize tips of instrument or clips poorly placed | | |  |
|  |  |  |  | | |  |  | | |

**Respect for Tissue**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | NA |
| *Consistently* handled tissue carefully (appropriately), minimal tissue damage |  | Careful tissue handling, *occasional* inadvertent damage |  | *Frequent* unnecessary tissue force or damage by inappropriate instrument use |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Time and Motion**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | NA |
| Clear economy of motion, and maximum efficiency |  | Efficient time and motion, some unnecessary moves |  | Many unnecessary moves |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Operation Flow**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | NA |
| Obviously planned course of operation and anticipation of next steps |  | Some forward planning, reasonable procedure progression |  | Frequent lack of forward progression; frequently stopped operating and seemed unsure of next move |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Overall Performance**

Rating of 4 or higher indicates technically proficient performance (i.e., resident is ready to perform operation independently, assuming resident consistently performs at this level)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5  Excellent | 4  Very Good | 3  Good | 2  Fair | 1  Poor | NA |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Please indicate the weaknesses in this resident’s performance:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Please indicate the strengths in this resident’s performance:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |